TANTIA UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES

Official Publication of Tantia University, Sriganganagar

Review

Digital Dentistry: The Future of Precision Care

[1]Sameer Meena, [2]Niharika Sharma

[1,2]BDS Student, Dr. S.S. Tantia Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Sriganganagar

Corresponding Author
Sameer Meena
BDS Student, Dr. S.S. Tantia Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Sriganganagar

Received Date: 21 April, 2026 Acceptance Date: 15 May, 2026 Published Date: 15 May, 2026

ABSTRACT
A new age of patient-centered, precision-driven oral healthcare has been brought about by the use of digital technologies into dentistry. Intraoral scanning, T-Scan occlusal analysis, photometric dentistry, AI-assisted diagnostics, 3D printing, and guided implant surgery are among the important digital modalities that are examined in this review. Their clinical relevance, workflow benefits, and effects on treatment outcomes are all assessed. According to the research, digital dentistry greatly improves patient communication, increases diagnostic accuracy, and lowers operator error. The delivery of modern, evidence-based dental care depends on the methodical integration of these technologies into ordinary clinical practice, which is both unavoidable and crucial as they become more widely available.

Keywords: 3D printing, guided implant surgery, digital dentistry, intraoral scanning, CAD/CAM, T-Scan, AI diagnostics, and photometric dentistry

How to Cite : Meena S and Sharma N Digital Dentistry: The Future of Precision Care .TU J. Med Dent. Scie. 2026:1(1)15-18.

INTRODUCTION

A major paradigm shift is taking place in modern dentistry, mostly due to the quick spread of digital technologies. Digital alternatives that provide higher precision, reproducibility, and efficiency are gradually replacing traditional analogue workflows, which were long thought to be the standard of care.[1-2] Together, these advancements—from digital impressions to AI-assisted radiograph interpretation—represent what many medical professionals today call the "digital dentistry" era.[3]

Intraoral examination

Conventional elastomeric or alginate impression materials are no longer necessary thanks to intraoral scanners (IOS), which use structured light or laser triangulation to obtain extremely accurate three-dimensional digital imprints. The final digital model can be used for lab transfer, prosthesis design, or virtual articulation right away.[4-5]

(A) Clinical Advantages

From the standpoint of the patient, IOS greatly enhances comfort, especially for those who have a strong gag reflex or oral fear. By instantly identifying errors and visualising the prepared margin in real-time, clinicians can minimise the need for repeat impressions. There is ample evidence of the dimensional correctness of contemporary IOS systems, with multiple studies showing trueness and precision values that are on par with or higher than those of traditional imprint techniques for full-arch scans.[6]

(B) Clinical Applications

- Crown and bridge fabrication via direct integration with CAD/CAM milling units
- Orthodontic treatment planning and aligner fabrication
- Implant-level scanning for prosthetic workflows
- Monitoring of tooth wear and soft tissue changes over time[7]

T-Scan: Digital Occlusal Examination

In the past, occlusal management has depended on articulating paper, which is useful but only offers qualitative data regarding contact location. A computerised occlusal analysis system called T-Scan (Tekscan, Boston, USA) measures the relative force magnitude, distribution, and timing of occlusal contacts over the course of the masticatory cycle.[8]

(A)Clinical Significance

The objective identification of premature occlusal contacts is a significant improvement over traditional techniques, especially when full-mouth rehabilitation or post-restorative adjustments are involved. The doctor can assess the occlusal scheme in both intercuspation and excursive movements with T-Scan's dynamic, time-sequenced force map. This is particularly helpful when treating problems of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), as minor occlusal imbalances can lead to joint loading and the persistence of symptoms. [9]

(B) Key Clinical Applications

- Post-restorative occlusal equilibration
- Full-mouth rehabilitation and implant prosthetics
- TMJ disorder diagnosis and management[10]

(B) Important Clinical Uses
• Occlusal equilibration following restoration
• Implant prostheses and full-mouth rehabilitation
• Diagnosis and treatment of TMJ disorders [10]
Analysing the results of splint therapy
Photometric Dental Care
Photometric dentistry includes the use of light-based imagery and calibrated digital photography to inform shade selection and aesthetic treatment planning. Standardised photometric techniques, in contrast to informal clinical photography, guarantee uniform angulation, magnification, and lighting conditions, resulting in photographs that are repeatable and diagnostically reliable across appointments.[11] Compared to conventional shade tab systems, which are prone to metamerism and observer fatigue, digital shade-matching instruments, like as spectrophotometers and colorimeters, have significantly increased the objectivity of tooth colour assessment. [12]
Clinicians can create smile makeovers within the larger framework of facial proportions, midline symmetry, and lip dynamics by integrating face analysis tools. This improves clinical communication and patient participation before starting therapy.[13]

Dental Diagnostics Using Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence is becoming a potent tool for dentistry diagnosis, especially deep learning algorithms that have been trained on massive radiographic datasets. Al systems have shown clinically significant performance in tasks such as classifying CBCT cross sections, identifying periapical disease, detecting interproximal caries on bitewing radiographs, and evaluating alveolar bone levels. [14]

(A) Clinical *Implications*

Al is useful in the clinical setting since it enhances rather than replaces the clinician's judgement.
In dentistry, fatigue, inexperience, and perceptual variability are known causes of diagnostic mistake. By highlighting small abnormalities that could otherwise go unnoticed, Al-assisted second-opinion tools might lower the number of missed diagnoses and promote more standardised, evidence-based clinical evaluations. These systems' diagnostic sensitivity and specificity keep becoming better as they are trained on more varied and representative datasets.[2]
However, it is crucial to recognise existing limitations: Al systems are only as trustworthy as the data they are trained on, and their incorporation into clinical practice should be accompanied by suitable clinician supervision and regulatory examination.[15]

Dental 3D Printing

Additive manufacturing, also known as 3D printing, makes it possible to quickly create patient-specific dental appliances straight from digital files. The most commonly utilised technologies in the dental field are stereolithography (SLA), digital light processing (DLP), and fused deposition modelling (FDM). Material possibilities include resins, polymers, and, increasingly, metals and ceramics. [16]

(A) Applications and Advantages

The clinical applications of dental 3D printing are broad and expanding. Surgical implant guides, orthodontic aligners, occlusal splints, study models, interim restorations, and complete dentures can now be fabricated in-house or via digital laboratories, often within hours. The advantages - reduced turnaround time, lower per-unit cost at scale, and high geometric customization - are driving rapid adoption in both specialist and general practice settings.

From an educational standpoint, 3D-printed anatomical models derived from CBCT data offer significant utility for surgical simulation and patient communication, particularly in complex implant or orthognathic surgery cases. [17]

Guided Implant Surgery

Implant placement accuracy is a critical determinant of prosthetic outcomes and long- term implant survival. Computer-guided implant surgery utilises CBCT-derived virtual treatment planning software to pre-operatively design the optimal implant position, angulation, and depth, with direct reference to the planned prosthetic emergence profile and available bone architecture. [18]

(A) Workflow

- CBCT acquisition and digital model superimposition [19]
- Virtual implant planning using dedicated software (e.g., coDiagnostiX, Nobel Clinician, Simplant) [20]
- Fabrication of a tooth- or mucosa-supported surgical guide via 3D printing Intraoperative execution with guide-constrained implant placement.[21]

(B) Clinical Evidence

Multiple systematic reviews have demonstrated that guided implant surgery reduces angular and depth deviation at placement compared to freehand techniques, particularly for fully edentulous or anatomically challenging cases. The reduction in surgical time, improved patient comfort, and capacity for flapless procedures in appropriate cases further enhance its appeal as a minimally invasive alternative**.** [22.] It should be noted, however, that guided surgery does not entirely eliminate the possibility of error - guide fit accuracy, CBCT artefacts, and bone density can all influence the final outcome. Surgical proficiency and sound preoperative planning remain indispensable.[23]

LIMITATIONS

Therefore, even though the use of digital dentistry is revolutionary, there are a number of significant drawbacks. The high initial cost of equipment, software, and training is a significant obstacle that many dental practices may find challenging to meet, especially since ongoing education and skill development are also required for efficient use of these technologies. Furthermore, it might be difficult to integrate several digital technologies into a single clinical workflow since it frequently requires careful planning and technical know-how to ensure interoperability across various platforms. Additionally, the increasing reliance on digital technology raises the possibility of vulnerabilities such as software bugs, compatibility problems, and system failures, all of which can interfere with clinical operations and have a detrimental effect on patient care. [24–25]

CONCLUSION

Digital dentistry is not a single technology; rather, it is a network of interrelated tools that, when carefully used, raise the bar for treatment in almost all clinical specialities. By addressing particular shortcomings of conventional analogue practice, intraoral scanning, T-Scan occlusal analysis, photometric smile design, AI-assisted diagnostics, 3D printing, and guided implant surgery all provide quantifiable gains in precision, effectiveness, and patient satisfaction. Fluency with these technologies is a core ability for today's clinicians, especially for students entering a field that is becoming more and more digital. In addition to technical training, the shift to digital workflows necessitates a deeper comprehension of the fundamental concepts of each modality, including their limitations and the situations in which analogue approaches might still be suitable. The use of digital dentistry will only increase as expenses come down, software gets easier to use, and data keeps coming in. Evidence-based practice is characterised by its critical and intentional embrace of this progress.

REFERENCES

1. Spagnuolo G, Sorrentino R. The role of digital devices in dentistry:Clinical trends and scientific evidences. J Clin Med. 2020;9:1692.
2. Schwendicke F, Samek W, Krois J. Artificial intelligence in dentistry: chances and challenges. J Dent Res. 2020;99(7):769-774.
3. Sobin B. Digital dentistry: a paradigm shift in clinical practice. *Res Rev J Dent Sci.* 2025;13:006.
4. Kudva PB. Digital dentistry:The way ahead. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2016;20:482–3
5. Mangano F. Digital dentistry. J Dent. 2021;109:103693.
6. Ender A, Mehl A. Accuracy of complete-arch dental impressions: a new method of measuring trueness and precision. J Prosthet Dent. 2013;109(2):121-128.

7. Eggmann F, Blatz MB. Recent Advances in Intraoral Scanners. J Dent Res. 2024 Dec;103(13):1349-1357.
8. Kois JC, Chaiyabutr Y. The role of occlusal analysis in computerized occlusal diagnosis. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2011;32(1):78-83.
9. Chan H, Alimujiang A, Fong SI, Wu ML, Liang R, Lai PY, Wei HW, Shen S. Use of T-Scan III in analyzing occlusal changes in molar fixed denture placement. BMC Oral Health. 2024 Feb 22;24(1):264.
10. Majithia IP, Arora V, Anil Kumar S, Saxena V, Mittal M. Comparison of articulating paper markings and T Scan III recordings to evaluate occlusal force in normal and rehabilitated maxillofacial trauma patients. Med J Armed Forces India. 2015 Dec;71(Suppl 2):S382-8.
11. Ahmad I. Protocols for Predictable Aesthetic Dental Restorations. Blackwell Munksgaard; 2026
12. Adithya SN, Ayesha PA, MA E. Digital Shade Selection in Dentistry: A Comprehensive Review. international journal of research and reports in dentistry. 2026 Jan 6;9(1):28-41.
13. Alharkan HM. Integrating digital smile design into restorative Dentistry: A narrative review of the applications and benefits. The Saudi Dental Journal. 2024 Apr 1;36(4):561-7.
14. Ali M, Irfan M, Ali T, Wei CR, Akilimali A. Artificial intelligence in dental radiology: a narrative review. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2025 Mar 27;87(4):2212-2217.
15. Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. Heliyon. 2024 Feb 15;10(4):e26297.
16. Dawood A, Marti BM, Sauret-Jackson V, Darwood A. 3D printing in dentistry. Br Dent J. 2015;219(11):521-529.
17. Gawali N, Shah PP, Gowdar IM, Bhavsar KA, Giri D, Laddha R. The Evolution of Digital Dentistry: A Comprehensive Review. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2024 Jul;16(Suppl 3):S1920-S1922.
18. Jung RE, Schneider D, Ganeles J, Wismeijer D, Zwahlen M, Hammerle CH, Tahmaseb A. Computer technology applications in surgical implant dentistry: a systematic review. Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE): Quality-assessed Reviews [Internet]. 2009.
19. Pauwels R. A brief introduction to concepts and applications of artificial intelligence in dental imaging. Oral Radiol 2021;37:153–60.
20. Kernen F, Kramer J, Wanner L, Wismeijer D, Nelson K, Flügge T. A review of virtual planning software for guided implant surgery - data import and visualization, drill guide design and manufacturing. BMC Oral Health. 2020 Sep 10;20(1):251.
21. Lin J, Lin Z, Zheng Z. Case report: Fabrication of a dental implant guide based on tetrahedron positioning technology. BMC Oral Health. 2021 Jul 7;21(1):335.
22. Mangano FG, Hauschild U, Admakin O. Using a fully guided surgical approach for the treatment of patients with complete edentulism: a 3-year prospective clinical study. J Prosthodont. 2019;28(4):395-403.
23. Unsal GS, Turkyilmaz I, Lakhia S. Advantages and limitations of implant surgery with CAD/CAM surgical guides: A literature review. J Clin Exp Dent. 2020 Apr 1;12(4):e409-e417.
24. Fasbinder DJ. Digital dentistry:Innovation for restorative treatment. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2010;31:2–12.
25. Kumar PR, Ravindranath KV, Srilatha V, Alobaoid MA, Kulkarni MM, Mathew T, Tiwari HD. Analysis of advances in research trends in robotic and digital dentistry: An original research. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences. 2022 Jul 1;14(Suppl 1):S185-7.